CS 5600 Computer Systems


Programs, Processes, and Threads

- Programs
- Processes
- Context Switching
- Protected Mode Execution
- Inter-process Communication
- Threads

Running Dynamic Code

- One basic function of an OS is to execute and manage code dynamically, e.g.:
 - A command issued at a command line terminal
 - An icon double clicked from the desktop
 - Jobs/tasks run as part of a batch system (MapReduce)
- A process is the basic unit of a program in execution

Programs and Processes



How to Run a Program?

• When you double-click on an .exe, how does the OS turn the file on disk into a process?

• What information must the .exe file contain in order to run as a program?

Program Formats

- Programs obey specific file formats
 - CP/M and DOS: COM executables (*.com)
 - DOS: MZ executables (*.exe)
 - Named after Mark Zbikowski, a DOS developer
 - Windows Portable Executable (PE, PE32+) (*.exe)
 - Modified version of Unix COFF executable format
 - PE files start with an MZ header. Why?
 - Unix/Linux: Executable and Linkable Format (ELF)
 - Mac OSX: Mach object file format (Mach-O)

test.c

#include <stdio.h>


```
int big_big_array[10 * 1024 * 1024];
char *a_string = "Hello, World!";
int a_var_with_value = 100;
```


```
int main(void) {
    big_big_array[0] = 100;
    printf("%s\n", a_string);
    a_var_with_value += 20;
```

```
printf("main is : %p\n", &main);
return 0;
```

ELF File Format

- ELF Header
 - Contains compatibility info
 - Entry point of the executable code
- Program header table
 - Lists all the segments in the file
 - Used to load and execute the program
- Section header table
 - Used by the linker





ELF Header Example

7f 45 4c 46 02 01 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
ELF64
2's complement, little endian
1 (current)
UNIX - System V
0
EXEC (Executable file)
Advanced Micro Devices X86-64
0x1
0x400460
64 (bytes into file)
5216 (bytes into file)
0x0
64 (bytes)
56 (bytes)
9
64 (bytes)
36
33

Investigating the Entry Point

```
int main(void) {
```

}

```
...
printf("main is : %p\n", &main);
return 0;
```

```
$ gcc -g -o test test.c
$ readelf --headers ./test | grep Entry
Entry point address: 0x400460
$ ./test
Hello World!
main is : 0x400544
```

Entry point != & main

\$./test

...

Hello World! main is : 0x400544

\$ readelf --headers ./test | grep Entry Entry point address: 0x400460

\$ objdump --disassemble –M intel ./test

000000000400460 < start>:


400460:	31 ed	xor ebp,ebp
400462:	49 89 d1	mov r9,rdx
400465:	5e	pop rsi
400466:	48 89 e2	mov rdx,rsp
400469:	48 83 e4 f0	and rsp,0xffffffffffffff
40046d:	50	push rax
40046e:	54	push rsp
40046f:	49 c7 c0 20 06 40 00	mov r8,0x400620
400476:	48 c7 c1 90 05 40 00	mov rcx,0x400590
40047d:	48 c7 c7 44 05 40 00	<u>mov rdi,0x400544</u>
400484:	e8 c7 ff ff ff	call 400450 <libc_start_main@plt></libc_start_main@plt>

- Most compilers insert extra • code into compiled programs
- This code typically runs • before and after main()

Sections and Segments

- Sections are the various pieces of code and data that get linked together by the compiler
- Each segment contains one or more sections
 - Each segment contains sections that are related
 - E.g. all code sections
 - Segments are the basic units for the loader





Common Sections


- Sections are the various pieces of code and data that compose a program
- Key sections:
 - .text Executable code
 - _____bss Global variables initialized to zero
 - _______...data, .rodata Initialized data and strings

 - .symtab Debug symbols



Empty 10 MB array \rightarrow .bss

int big_big_array 0*1024*1024]; char *a_string = "Hello, World!"; int a_var_with_value = 0x100;



Initialized global variable \rightarrow .data

\$ readelf --headers ./test

• • •

Section to Segment mapping: Segment Sections...

00

01 .interp

02 .interp .note.ABI-tag .note.gnu.build-

id .gnu.hash .dynsym .dynstr .gnu.version .gnu.version_r .rela.dyn .rela.plt .init .plt .text .fini .rodata .eh_fra me_hdr .eh_frame

03 .ctors .dtors .jcr .dynamic .got .got.plt .data .bss

04 .dynamic

- 05 .note.ABI-tag .note.gnu.build-id
- 06 .eh_frame_hdr
- 07
- 08 .ctors .dtors .jcr .dynamic .got

•••


There are 36 section headers, starting at offset 0x1460:

Section Headers:


[Nr]	Name	Туре	Address	Offset	Size	ES	Flags	Link	Info	Align
[0]		NULL	00000000	0000000	00000000	00		0	0	0
[1]	.interp	PROGBITS	00400238	00000238	000001c	00	А	0	0	1
[2]	.note.ABI-tag	NOTE	00400254	00000254	0000020	00	А	0	0	4
[3]	.note.gnu.build-I	NOTE	00400274	00000274	0000024	00	А	0	0	4
[4]	.gnu.hash	GNU_HASH	00400298	00000298	000001c	00	А	5	0	8
[5]	.dynsym	DYNSYM	004002b8	000002b8	0000078	18	А	6	1	8
[6]	.dynstr	STRTAB	00400330	00000330	00000044	00	А	0	0	1
[7]	.gnu.version	VERSYM	00400374	00000374	0000000a	02	А	5	0	2

.text Example Header

typedef struct {



.bss Example Header



Segments

- Each segment contains one or more sections
 - All of the sections in a segment are related, e.g.:
 - All sections contain compiled code
 - Or, all sections contain initialized data
 - Or, all sections contain debug information
 - ... etc...
- Segments are used by the loader to:
 - Place data and code in memory
 - Determine memory permissions (read/write/execute)

Segment Header

typedef struct {

5

10

Elf32_Word p_type; // Type of Segment
Elf32_Off p_offset; // Offset for the segment
Elf32_Addr p_vaddr; // Location to load
Elf32_Addr p_paddr; // the segmentinto memory
Elf32_Word p_filesz; // Size on disk
Elf32_Word p_memsz; // Size in memory
Elf32_Word p_flags; // Flags describing data
Elf32_Word p_align;

\$ readelf --segments ./test

Elf file type is EXEC (Executable file)

Entry point 0x400460

There are 9 program headers, starting at offset 64

Program Headers:

Туре	Offset	VirtAddr	PhysAddr	FileSiz	MemSiz	Flags	'n
PHDR	0x00000040	0x00400040	0x00400040	0x000001f8	0x000001f8	RE	د
INTERP	0x00000238	0x00400238	0x00400238	0x0000001c	0x0000001c	R	1
LOAD	0x00000000	0x00400000	0x00400000	0x0000077c	0x0000077c	RE	200000
LOAD	0x00000e28	0x00600e28	0x00600e28	0x0000020c	0x02800238	RW	200000
DYNAMIC	0x00000e50	0x00600e50	0x00600e50	0x00000190	0x00000190	RW	8
NOTE	0x00000254	0x00400254	0x00400254	0x00000044	0x00000044	R	4
GNU_EH_FRAME	0x000006a8	0x004006a8	0x004006a8	0x0000002c	0x0000002c	R	4
GNU_STACK	0x00000000	0x00000000	0x00000000	0x00000000	0x00000000	RW	8
GNU_RELRO	0x00000e28	0x00600e28	0x00600e28	0x000001d8	0x000001d8	R	1

Executable

Section to Segment mapping:

Segment Sections...

00

01 .interp

02 .interp .note.ABI-tag .note.gnu.build-

id .gnu.hash .dynsym .dynstr .gnu.version .gnu.version_r .rela.dyn .rela.plt .init .plt .text .fini .rodata .eh_frame_hdr .eh_fra me

- 03 .ctors .dtors .jcr .dynamic .got .got.plt .data .bss
- 04 .dynamic

What About Static Data?

#include <stdio.h>

```
int big_big_array[10 * 1024 * 1024];
char *a_string = "Hello, World!";
int a_var_with_value = 100;
```

```
int main(void) {
    big_big_array[0] = 100;
    printf("%s\n", a_string);
    a_var_with_value += 20;
```


```
printf("main is : %p\n", &main);
return 0;
```

\$ strings –t d ./test 568 /lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2 817 gmon start 832 libc.so.6 842 puts 847 printf 854 __libc_start_main 872 GLIBC 2.2.5 fff. 1300 1314 1\$ L 1559 t\$(L 1564 |\$0H 1569 1676 Hello, World! 1690 main is : %p ;*3\$" 1807

Single-Process Address Space


- The stack is used for local variables and function calls
 - Grows downwards
- Heap is allocated dynamically (malloc/ new)
 - Grows upwards
- When the stack and heap meet, there is no more memory left in the process
 Process will probably crash
- Static data and global variables are fixed at compile time

Memory



The Program Loader

- OS functionality that loads programs into memory, creates processes
 - Places segments into memory
 - Expands segments like .bss
 - Loads necessary dynamic libraries
 - Performs relocation
 - Allocated the initial stack frame
 - Sets EIP to the programs entry point



Problem: Pointers in Programs

• Consider the following code:

int foo(int a, int b) { return a *b - a / b; }
int main(void) { return foo(10, 12); }

• Compiled, it might look like this:

000FE4D8 <foo>:

000FE4D8: mov eax, [esp+4]

000FE4DB: mov ebx, [esp+8]

000FE4DF: mul eax, ebx


...

- 000FE21A: push eax
- 000FE21D: push ebx
- 000FE21F: call 0x000FE4D8
- ... but this assembly assumes foo() is at address 0x000FE4D8

Program Load Addresses


Addr of foo(): OxODEB49A3

- Loader must place each process in memory
- Program may not be placed at the correct location!
 - Example: two copies of the same program



Address Spaces for Multiple Processes

- Many features of processes depend on pointers
 - Addresses of functions
 - Addresses of strings, data
 - Etc.
- For multiple processes to run together, they all have to fit into memory together
- However, a process may not always be loaded into the same memory location



Address Spaces for Multiple Processes

- There are several methods for configuring address spaces for multiple processes
 - 1. Fixed address compilation
 - 2. Load-time fixup
 - 3. Position independent code
 - 4. Hardware support

Fixed-Address Compilation

Single Copy of Each Program


- Compile each program once, with fixed addresses
- OS may only load program at the specified offset in memory
- Typically, only one process may be run at any time
- Example: MS-DOS 1.0

Multiple Copies of Each Program

- Compile each program multiple times
- Once for each possible starting address
- Load the appropriate compiled program when the user starts the program
- Bad idea
 - Multiple copies of the same program


Load-Time Fixup

- Calculate addresses at load-time instead of compile-time
- The program contains a list of locations that must be modified at startup
 - All relative to some starting address
- Used in some OSes that run on low-end microcontrollers without virtual memory hardware



Position-Independent Code

- Compiles programs in a way that is independent of their starting address
 – PC-relative address
- Slightly less efficient than absolute addresses
- Commonly used today for security reasons



Hardware Support

- Hardware address translation
- Most popular way of sharing memory between multiple processes
 - Linux
 - OS X
 - Windows
- Program is compiled to run at a fixed location in virtual memory
- The OS uses the MMU to map these locations to physical memory

MMU and Virtual Memory


- The Memory Management Unit (MMU) translates between virtual addresses and physical addresses
 - Process uses virtual address for calls and data load/store
 - MMU translates virtual addresses to physical addresses
 - The physical addresses are the true locations of code and data in RAM

Advantages of Virtual Memory


- Flexible memory sharing
 - Simplifies the OS's job of allocating memory to different programs
- Simplifies program writing and compilations
 - Each program gets access to 4GB of RAM (on a 32-bit CPU)
- Security
 - Can be used to prevent one process from accessing the address of another process
- Robustness
 - Can be used to prevent writing to addresses belonging to the OS (which may cause the OS to crash)

Base and Bounds Registers

- A simple mechanism for address translation
- Maps a contiguous virtual address region to a contiguous physical address region




Base and Bounds Example



0x0023 mov eax, [esp]

1) Fetch instruction 0x0023 + 0x00FF = 0x0122

2) Translate memory access0x0F76 + 0x00FF = 0x1075



3) Move value to register $[0x1075] \rightarrow eax$

Confused About Virtual Memory?

- That's okay :)
- We will discuss virtual memory at great length later in the semester
- In project 3, you will implement virtual memory in Pintos

- Programs
- Processes
- Context Switching
- Protected Mode Execution
- Inter-process Communication
- Threads

From the Loader to the Kernel

- Once a program is loaded, the kernel must manage this new process
- Program Control Block (PCB): kernel data structure representing a process
 - Has at least one thread (possibly more...)
 - Keeps track of the memory used by the process
 - Code segments
 - Data segments (stack and heap)
 - Keeps runtime state of the process
 - CPU register values
 - EIP


Program Control Block (PCB)

- OS structure that represents a process in memory
- Created for each process by the loader
- Managed by the kernel

};

Process States

- As a process executes, it changes state
 - new: The process is being created
 - running: Instructions are being executed
 - waiting: The process is waiting for some event to occur
 - ready: The process is waiting to be assigned to a processor
 - terminated: The process has finished execution




Parents and Children

- On Unix/Linux, all processes have parents

 i.e. which process executed this new process?
- If a process spawns other processes, they become it's children
 - This creates a tree of processes
- If a parent exits before its children, the children become orphans
- If a child exits before the parent calls wait(), the child becomes a zombie

Process Tree

- init is a special process started by the kernel
 - Always roots the process tree



Additional Execution Context

- File descriptors
 - stdin, stdout, stderr
 - Files on disck
 - Sockets
 - Pipes
- Permissions
 - User and group
 - Access to specific APIs
 - Memory protection

- Environment
 \$PATH
- Shared Resources
 - Locks
 - Mutexes
 - Shared Memory

UNIX Process Management


 fork() – system call to create a copy of the current process, and start it running

– No arguments!

- exec() system call to change the program being run by the current process
- wait() system call to wait for a process to finish
- signal() system call to send a notification to another process

UNIX Process Management

Child Process



Original Process

Question: What does this code print?

- int child_pid = fork();
 if (child_pid == 0) { // I'm the child process
 printf("I am process #%d\n", getpid());
 return 0;
 } else { // I'm the parent process
 - printf("I am parent of process #%d\n", child_pid);
 return 0;

Questions

• Can UNIX fork() return an error? Why?

• Can UNIX exec() return an error? Why?

 Can UNIX wait() ever return immediately? Why?

Implementing UNIX fork()

- Steps to implement UNIX fork()
 - 1. Create and initialize the process control block (PCB) in the kernel
 - 2. Create a new address space
 - 3. Initialize the address space with a copy of the entire contents of the address space of the parent
 - 4. Inherit the execution context of the parent (e.g., any open files)
 - 5. Inform the scheduler that the new process is ready to run

Implementing UNIX exec()

- Steps to implement UNIX exec()
 - 1. Load the new program into the current address space
 - 2. Copy command line arguments into memory in the new address space
 - 3. Initialize the hardware context to start execution
 - EIP = Entry point in the ELF header
 - ESP = A newly allocated stack

Process Termination

- Typically, a process will wait(pid) until its child process(es) complete
- abort(pid) can be used to immediately end a child process

- Programs
- Processes
- Context Switching
- Protected Mode Execution
- Inter-process Communication
- Threads

The Story So Far...

- At this point, we have gone over how the OS:
 - Turns programs into processes
 - Represents and manages running process
- Next step: context switching
 - How does a process access OS APIs?
 - i.e. System calls
 - How does the OS share the CPU between several programs?
 - Multiprocessing

Context Switching

- Context switching
 - Saves state of a process before a switching to another process
 - Restores original process state when switching back
- Simple concept, but:
 - How do you save the state of a process?
 - How do you stop execution of a process?
 - How do you restart the execution of process that has been switched out?

The Process Stack

- Each process has a stack in memory that stores:
 - Local variables
 - Arguments to functions
 - Return addresses from functions
- On x86:
 - The stack grows downwards
 - ESP (Stack Pointer register) points to the bottom of the stack (i.e. the newest data)
 - EBP (Base Pointer) points to the base of the current frame
 - Instructions like push, pop, call, ret, int, and iret all modify the stack

```
int bar(int a, int b) {
    int r = rand();
    return a + b - r;
}
```



```
int foo(int a) {
    int x, y;
    x = a * 2;
    y = a - 7;
    return bar(x, y);
}
```

int main(void) {

```
...
foo(12);
```


...

	\$ gcc -g -fn stack_exan	-	ector -m32 -o stack_exam
	\$ objdump	disassemble	e –M intel ./stack_exam
EIP	804842a:	e8 c0 ff ff ff	call 80483ef <foo></foo>
	804842f:	b8 00 00 00	00 mov eax,0x0
	•••		
	080483ef <	foo>:	
	80483ef:	55	push ebp
	80483f0:	89 e5	mov ebp, esp
	80483f2:	83 ec 28	sub esp, 0x28
	80483f5:	8b 45 08	mov eax, [ebp+0x8]
	80483f8:	01 c0	add eax, eax
	80483fa:	89 45 f4	mov [ebp-0xc], eax
	80483fd:	8b 45 08	mov eax, [ebp+0x8]
	8048400:	83 e8 07	sub eax, 0x7
	8048403:	89 45 f0	mov [ebp-0x10],eax
	8048406:	8b 45 f0	mov eax, [ebp-0x10]
	8048409:	89 44 24 04	mov [esp+0x4],eax
	804840d:	8b 45 f4	mov eax, [ebp-0xc]
	8048410:	89 04 24	mov [esp], eax

8048413: e8 bc ff ff ff call 80483d4 <bar>

leave

ret




. .

8048418: c9

8048419: c3

080483d4 <	:bar>:	
80483d4:	55	push ebp
80483d5:	89 e5	mov ebp, esp
80483d7:	83 ec 18	sub esp, 0x18
80483da:	e8 31 ff ff ff	call 8048310 <rand@plt></rand@plt>
80483df:	89 45 f4	mov [ebp-0xc], eax
80483e2:	8b 45 0c	mov eax, [ebp+0xc]
80483e5:	8b 55 08	mov edx, [ebp+0x8]
80483e8:	01 d0	add eax,edx
80483ea:	2b 45 f4	sub eax, [ebp-0xc]
80483ed:	c9	leave
80483ee:	c3	ret
•••		



- leave \rightarrow mov esp, ebp; pop ebp;
- Return value is placed in EAX

Stack Switching

- We've seen that the stack holds
 - Local variables
 - Arguments to functions
 - Return addresses
 - ... basically, the state of a running program
- Crucially, a process' control flow is stored on the stack
- If you modify the stack, you also modify control flow
 - Stack switching is effectively process switching

Switching Between Processes

- 1. Process 1 calls into switch() routine
- 2. CPU registers are pushed onto the stack
- 3. The stack pointer is saved into memory
- 4. The stack pointer for process 2 is loaded
- 5. CPU registers are restored
- 6. switch() returns back to process 2


Process 1's Code


a = b + 1; switch(); b--;

EIP

Process 2's Code


puts(my_str); switch(); my_str[0] = '\n'; i = strlen(my_str); switch();





Abusing Call and Return

- Context switching uses function call and return mechanisms
 - Switches <u>into</u> a process by <u>returning</u> from a function
 - Switches <u>out</u> of a process by <u>calling</u> into a function



What About New Processes?


- But how do you start a process in the first place?
 - A new process doesn't have a stack…
 - … and it never called into switch()
- Pretend that there was a previous call
 - Build a fake initial stack frame
 - This frame looks exactly like the instruction just before main() called into switch()
 - When switch() returns, it'll allow main() to run from the beginning

Process 1's Code


a = b + 1; switch(); b--;

EIP

OS Code



New Process



OS Memory	
Address of New Stack	
Initial Stack Frame	
argv[]	
argc	
0 (null return addr)	

ESP

When Do You Switch Processes?

- To share CPU between multiple processes, control must eventually return to the OS
 - When should this happen?
 - What mechanisms implements the switch from user process back to the OS?
- Four approaches:
 - 1. Voluntary yielding
 - 2. Switch during API calls to the OS
 - 3. Switch on I/O
 - 4. Switch based on a timer interrupt

Voluntary Yielding

- Idea: processes must voluntary give up control by calling an OS API, e.g. thread_yield()
- Problems:
 - Misbehaving or buggy apps may never yield
 - No guarantee that apps will yield in a reasonable amount of time
 - Wasteful of CPU resources, i.e. what if a process is idle-waiting on I/O?

Interjection on OS APIs

- Idea: whenever a process calls an OS API, this gives the OS an opportunity to context switch

 E.g. printf(), fopen(), socket(), etc...
- The original Apple Macintosh used this approach
 Cooperative multi-tasking
- Problems:
 - Misbehaving or buggy apps may never yield
 - Some normal apps don't use OS APIs for long periods of time
 - E.g. a long, CPU intensive matrix calculation

I/O Context Switch Example

• What's happening here?

```
struct terminal {
    queue<char> keystrokes; /* buffered keystrokes - array or list */
    process *waiting; /* process waiting for input */
    ...
};
process *current; /* the currently running process */
queue<process *> active; /* linked list of other processes ready to run */
```

```
char get char(terminal *term) {
```

```
if (term->keystrokes.empty()) {
   term->waiting = current;   /* sleep waiting for input */
   switch_to(active.pop_head());   /* and switch to next active process
   */
}
```

```
return term->keystrokes.pop_head();
```

```
}
```

}

void interrupt(terminal *term, char key) {

```
term->keystrokes.push_tail(key);  /* add keystroke to buffer */
if (term->waiting) {
    active.push_tail(term->waiting); /* and wake up sleeping process */
    term->waiting = NULL;
```

Context Switching on I/O

- Idea: when one process is waiting on I/O, switch to another process
 - I/O APIs already go through the OS, so context switching is easy
- Problems:
 - Some apps don't have any I/O for long periods of time

Preemptive Context Switching

- So far, our processes will not switch to another process until some action is taken
 - e.g. an API call or an I/O interrupt
- Idea: use a timer interrupt to force context switching at set intervals
 - Interrupt handler runs at a fixed frequency to measure how long a process has been running
 - If it's been running for some max duration (scheduling quantum), the handler switches to the next process
- Problems:
 - Requires hardware support (a programmable timer)
 - Thankfully, this is built-in to most modern CPUs

- Programs
- Processes
- Context Switching
- Protected Mode Execution
- Inter-process Communication
- Threads

Process Isolation

- At this point, we can execute multiple processes concurrently
- Problem: how do you stop processes from behaving badly?
 - Overwriting kernel memory
 - Reading/writing data from other processes
 - Disabling interrupts
 - Crashing the whole computer


– Etc.

Thought Experiment

- How can we implement execution with limited privilege?
 - Use an interpreter or a simulator
 - Execute each program instruction in a simulator
 - If the instruction is permitted, do the instruction
 - Otherwise, stop the process
 - Basic model in Javascript, Java, ...
- However, interpreters and simulators are slow
- How do we go faster?
 - Run the unprivileged code directly on the CPU

Protected Mode

- Most modern CPUs support protected mode
- x86 CPUs support three rings with different privileges
 - Ring 0: OS kernel
 - Ring 1, 2: device drivers
 - Ring 3: userland
- Most OSes only use rings 0 and 3
- What about hypervisors?



Real vs. Protected

- On startup, the CPU starts in 16-bit real mode
 - Protected mode is disabled
 - Assumes segment:offset addressing
- Typically, bootloader switches CPU to protected mode

```
mov eax, cr0
or eax, 1 ; set bit 1 of CR0 to 1 to enable pmode
mov cr0, eax
```

Dual-Mode Operation

- Ring 0: kernel/supervisor mode
 - Execution with the full privileges of the hardware
 - Read/write to any memory, access any I/O device, read/write any disk sector, send/read any packet
- Ring 3: user mode or "userland"
 - Limited privileges
 - Only those granted by the operating system kernel

Protected Features

- What system features are impacted by protection?
 - Privileged instructions
 - Only available to the kernel
 - Limits on memory accesses
 - Prevents user code from overwriting the kernel
 - Access to hardware
 - Only the kernel may directly interact with peripherals
 - Programmable Timer Interrupt
 - May only be set by the kernel
 - Used to force context switches between processes

Privileged Instructions

- Examples?
 - sti/cli Enable and disable interrupts
 - Any instruction that modifies the CR0 register
 - Controls whether protected mode is enabled
 - hlt Halts the CPU
- What should happen if a user program attempts to execute a privileged instruction?
 - General protection (GP) exception gets thrown by the CPU
 - Control is transferred to the OSes exception handler

Changing Modes

- Applications often need to access the OS
 - i.e. system calls
 - Writing files, displaying on the screen, receiving data from the network, etc...
- But the OS is ring 0, and apps are ring 3
- How do apps get access to the OS?
 - Apps invoke system calls with an interrupt
 - E.g. int 0x80
 - int causes a mode transfer from ring 3 to ring 0

Mode Transfer


- 1. Application executes trap (int) instruction
 - EIP, CS, and EFLAGS get pushed onto the stack
 - Mode switches from ring 3 to ring 0
- 2. Save the state of the current process
 Push EAX, EBX, ..., etc. onto the stack
- 3. Locate and execute the correct syscall handler
- 4. Restore the state of process
 - Pop EAX, EBX, ... etc.
- 5. Place the return value in EAX
- 6. Use iret to return to the process
 - Switches back to the original mode (typically 3)

Jserland

80

System Call Example

- 1. Software executes int 0x80
 - Pushes EIP, CS, and EFLAGS
- 2. CPU transfers execution to the OS handler
 - Look up the handler in the IVT
 - Switch from ring 3 to 0
- 3. OS executes the system call
 - Save the processes state
 - Use EAX to locate the system call
 - Execute the system call
 - Restore the processes state
 - Put the return value in EAX
- 4. Return to the process with iret
 - Pops EIP, CS, and EFLAGS
 - Switches from ring 0 to 3



IV/T


Alternative Syscall Mechanisms

- Thus far, all examples have used int/iret
- However, there are other syscall mechanisms on x86
 - sysenter/sysexit
 - syscall/sysret
- The sys* instructions are much faster than int/ iret
 - Jump directly to OS code, rather than looking up handlers in the IVT
 - Used by modern OSes, including the Linux kernel

- Programs
- Processes
- Context Switching
- Protected Mode Execution
- Inter-process Communication
- Threads

Processes are not Islands

- Thus far:
 - We can load programs as processes
 - We can context switch between processes
 - Processes are protected from each other
- What if one or more processes want to communicate with each other?



Mechanisms for IPC

- Typcially, two ways of implementing Inter-process communication (IPC)
 - Shared memory
 - A region of memory that many processes can all read/write
 - Message passing
 - Various OS-specific APIs
 - Pipes
 - Sockets
 - Signals

IPC Examples

Shared Memory Message Passing OxFFFF OxFFFF Kernel Kernel Memory Memory M Quede Write Process 2 Process 2 Read Write Shared Memory Read Process 1 Process 1 0x0000 0x0000

Posix Shared Memory API

 shm_open() – create and/or open a shared memory page

Returns a file descriptor for the shared page

- Itrunc() or ftruncate() limit the size of the shared memory page
- mmap() map the memory page into the processes address space

Now you can read/write the page using a pointer

- close() close a file descriptor
- shm_unlink() remove a shared page
 - Processes with open references may still access the page

Posix Shared Memory

```
int fd, i;
char *addr, c;
```

```
printf("Map addr is %6.6X\n", addr);
for (i = 0; i < 3 * 80; ++i) {
    c = *addr++;
    if (c >= ' ' && c <= 0x7f) putchar(c);
    else putchar('.');
```


/* Program to write some data in shared memory */
int main() {

/* Program to read some data from shared memory */
int main() {

const int SIZE = 4096; /* size of the shared page */
 /* name of the shared page */
const char * NAME = "MY_PAGE";
int shm_fd;
char * ptr;

POSIX Message Queues

- Implementation of message passing
 - Producers add messages to a shared FIFO queue
 - Consumer(s) remove messages
 - OS takes care of memory management, synchronization
- Posix API:
 - msgget() creates a new message queue
 - msgsnd() pushes a message onto the queue
 - msgrcv() pops a message from the queue



- File-like abstraction for sending data between processes
 - Can be read or written to, just like a file
 - Permissions controlled by the creating process
- Two types of pipes
 - Named pipe: any process can attach as long as it knows the name
 - Typically used for long lived IPC
 - Unnamed/anonymous pipe: only exists between a parent and its children
- Full or half-duplex
 - Can one or both ends of the pipe be read?
 - Can one or both ends of the pipe be written?

You've All Used Pipes

Pipe the output from one process to the input of another process

\$ ps x | grep ssh 3299 ? S 0:00 sshd: cbw@pts/0

```
int main() { /* Program that passes a string to a child process through a pipe */
    int fd[2], nbytes;
    pid_t childpid;
    char string[] = "Hello, world!\n";
    char readbuffer[80];
    pipe(fd);
    if ((childpid = fork()) == -1) { perror("fork"); exit(1); }
    if (childpid == 0) {
```

/* Child process closes up input side of pipe */

```
write(fd[1], string, strlen(string) + 1);
```

} else {

close(fd[0]);

```
/* Parent process closes up output side of pipe */
close(fd[1]);
```

/* Send "string" through the output side of pipe */

```
/* Read in a string from the pipe */
```

```
nbytes = read(fd[0], readbuffer, sizeof(readbuffer));
printf("Received string: %s", readbuffer);
```

```
return(0);
```

Sockets for IPC

- Yes, the same sockets you use for networking
- Server opens a listen socket, as usual
- Clients connect to this socket
 - The server can check the clients IP and drop connections from anyone other than 127.0.0.1
- Send and receive packets as usual

Implementation Questions

- How are links established?
- Can a link be associated with more than two processes?
- What is the capacity of each link?
- Are messages fixed size or variable size?
- Is the link unidirectional or bidirectional?
- Is the link synchronous or asynchronous?
- Does the API guarantee atomicity?
- What is the overhead of the API?

- Programs
- Processes
- Context Switching
- Protected Mode Execution
- Inter-process Communication
- Threads

Are Processes Enough?

At this point, we have the ability to run processes

And processes can communicate with each other

- Is this enough functionality?
- Possible scenarios:
 - A large server with many clients
 - A powerful computer with many CPU cores


Problems with Processes

- Process creation is heavyweight (i.e. slow)
 - Space must be allocated for the new process
 - fork() copies all state of the parent to the child
- IPC mechanisms are cumbersome
 - Difficult to use fine-grained synchronization
 - Message passing is slow
 - Each message may have to go through the kernel


Threads

- Light-weight processes that share the same memory and state space
- Every process has at least one thread
- Benefits:
 - Resource sharing, no need for IPC
 - Economy: faster to create, faster to context switch
 - Scalability: simple to take advantage of multi-core CPUs

Single-Threaded Process



Multi-Threaded Process



Thread Implementations

- Threads can be implemented in two ways:
 - 1. User threads
 - User-level library manages threads within a single process
 - 2. Kernel threads
 - Kernel manages threads for all processes

POSIX Pthreads

- POSIX standard API for thread creation
 IEEE 1003.1c
 - Specification, not implementation
 - Defines the API and the expected behavior
 - ... but not how it should be implemented
- Implementation is system dependent
 - On some platforms, user-level threads
 - On others, maps to kernel-level threads

Pthread API

- pthread_attr_init() initialize the threading library
- pthread_create() create a new thread
- pthread_exit() exit the current thread
- pthread_join() wait for another thread to exit
- Pthreads also contains a full range of synchronization primitives

Pthread Example

pthread_t tid; // id of the child thread pthread_attr_t attr; // initialization data pthread_attr_init(&attr); pthread_create(&tid, &attr, runner, 0); pthread_join(tid, 0);

```
void * runner(void * params) {
    ...
    pthread_exit(0);
```

Linux Threads

- In the kernel, threads are just tasks
 Remember the task struct from earlier?
- New threads created using the clone() API
 - Sort of like fork()
 - Creates a new child task that copies the address space of the parent
 - Same code, same environment, etc.
 - New stack is allocated
 - No memory needs to be copied (unlike fork())

Thread Oddities


- What happens if you fork() a process that has multiple threads?
 - You get a child process with exactly one thread
 - Whichever thread called fork() survives
- What happens if you run exec() in a multithreaded process?
 - All but one threads are killed
 - exec() gets run normally

Advanced Threading

- Thread pools:
 - Create many threads in advance
 - Dynamically give work to threads from the pool as it becomes available
- Advantages:
 - Cost of creating threads is handled up-front
 - Bounds the maximum number of threads in the process

Thread Local Storage

- Sometimes, you want each thread to have its own "global" data
 - Not global to all threads
 - Not local storage on the stack
- Thread local storage (TLS) allows each thread to have its own space for "global" variables
 - Similar to static variables



OpenMP

- Compiler extensions for C, C++ that adds native support for parallel programming
- Controlled with parallel regions
 - Automatically creates as many threads as there are cores

#include <omp.h>

```
int main() {
    int i, N = 20;
    #pragma omp parallel
    {
        printf("I am a parallel region\n");
    }
```

```
# pragma omp parallel for
for (i = 0; i < N; i++)
    printf("This is a parallel for loop\n");
```

```
return 0;
```

}

Processes vs. Threads

- Threads are better if:
 - You need to create new ones quickly, on-the-fly
 - You need to share lots of state
- Processes are better if:
 - You want protection
 - One process that crashes or freezes doesn't impact the others
 - You need high security
 - Only way to move state is through well-defined, sanitized message passing interface