

From Templates to Folds

CS 5010 Program Design Paradigms

Lesson 6.7



© Mitchell Wand, 2012-2014

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

Introduction

- Last week, we saw how the built-in mapping functions on lists, like **map**, **filter**, and **foldr**, made writing functions on lists easier.
- In this lesson we'll see how we can do something similar for any recursive data definition.

Learning Objectives


- At the end of this lesson you should be able to:
 - Write a fold function for any recursive data definition
 - Use the fold function to define useful functions on that data

Binary Trees

```
(define-struct leaf (datum))
```

```
(define-struct node (lson rson))
```

```
;; A Tree is either  
;; -- (make-leaf Number)  
;; -- (make-node Tree Tree)
```



Here is the definition of a binary tree again.

Template

`tree-fn : Tree -> ???`

And here is the template again.

```
(define (tree-fn t)
```

```
  (cond
```

```
    [(leaf? t) (... (leaf-datum t))]
```

```
    [else (...
```

```
      (tree-fn (node-lson t))
```

```
      (tree-fn (node-rson t)))]))
```

Self-reference in the data definition leads to self-reference in the template; Self-reference in the template leads to self-reference in the code.

The template has two blanks

`tree-fn : Tree -> ???`

```
(define (tree-fn t)
```

```
  (cond
```

```
    [(leaf? t) ( . . . (leaf-datum t) )]
```

```
    [else ( . . .
```

```
            (tree-fn (node-lson t))
```

```
            (tree-fn (node-rson t) ) ) ] ) )
```

Two blanks: one blue and one orange

From templates to folds

- Observe that the template has two blanks: the blue one and the orange one.
- Any two functions that follow the template will be the same except for what goes in the blanks.
- So we can generalize them by adding arguments for each blank.

Template → tree-fold

tree-fold : ... Tree -> ???

```
(define (tree-fold combiner base t)
  (cond
    [(leaf? t) (base (leaf-datum t))]
    [else (combiner
              (tree-fold combiner base
                            (node-lson t))
              (tree-fold combiner base
                            (node-rson t))))]))
```

Corresponding to each blank, we add an extra argument: **combiner** (in blue) for the blue blank and **base** (in orange) for the orange blank, and we pass these arguments to each of the recursive calls, just like we did for lists. The strategy for tree-fold is "Use template for Tree on t"

What's the contract for tree-fold?

tree-fold

```

: contract for combiner      contract for base      Tree -> X
(define (tree-fold combiner base t)
  (cond
    [(leaf? t) (base (leaf-datum t))]
    [else (combiner
                 (tree-fold combiner base
                             (node-lson t))
                 (tree-fold combiner base
                             (node-rson t)))]))
  
```

Let's figure out the contract for tree-fold. Let's analyze the

Let's assume the whole function returns an X.

If the whole function returns an X, then (base (leaf-datum t)) must return an X.

(leaf-datum t) returns a number, and (base (leaf-datum t)) must return an X, so base must be (Number -> X)

Since tree-fold returns an X, the arguments to combiner are both X's, and combiner itself must return an X.

So combiner must be an (X X -> X)

Be sure to reconstruct the original functions!

```
(define (tree-sum t)
  (tree-fold + (lambda (n) n) t))
```

```
(define (tree-min t)
  (tree-fold min (lambda (n) n) t))
```

```
(define (tree-max t)
  (tree-fold max (lambda (n) n) t))
```


Here are our original functions, **sum**, **tree-min**, and **tree-max**, rewritten using **tree-fold**.

The strategy for each of these is "Call a more general function."


Another example of trees: Ancestor Trees

```
(define-struct person (name father mother))  
(define-struct adam ())  
(define-struct eve ())
```

```
;; A Person is either  
;; -- (make-adam)  
;; -- (make-eve)  
;; -- (make-person String Person Person)
```



```
;; person-fn : Person -> ???  
(define (person-fn p)  
  (cond  
    [(adam? p) ...]  
    [(eve? p) ...]  
    [else (...  
      (person-name p)  
      (person-fn (person-father p))  
      (person-fn (person-mother p)))]))
```



Self-reference in the data definition leads to self-reference in the template; Self-reference in the template leads to self-reference in the code.

Template for Person

```
;; person-fn : Person -> ???
```

```
(define (person-fn p)
```

```
  (cond
```

```
    [(adam? p) ...]
```

```
    [(eve? p) ...]
```

```
    [else (...
```

```
      (person-name p)
```

```
      (person-fn (person-father p))
```

```
      (person-fn (person-mother p)))]))
```

Here's the template for our ancestor trees. We have three blanks: one blue, one purple, and one orange.

From template to fold:

```
;; person-fold : ... Person -> ???  
(define (person-fold adam-val eve-val combiner p)  
  (cond  
    [(adam? p) adam-val]  
    [(eve? p) eve-val]  
    [else (combiner  
            (person-name p)  
            (person-fold adam-val eve-val combiner  
                          (person-father p))  
            (person-fold adam-val eve-val combiner  
                          (person-mother p)))]))
```

Corresponding to our three blanks we add three arguments: the value for **adam** (in blue), the value for **eve** (in purple) and the **combiner** (in orange).

What's the contract for person-fold?

We can work out the contract for **person-fold** the same way that we did for **tree-fold**. Here again we've marked some of the sub-expressions with the kind of value they return.

```
;; person-fold
```

```
;; : X X (String X X -> X) Person -> X
```

```
(define (person-fold adam-val eve-val combiner p)
```

```
  (cond
```

```
    [(adam? p) adam-val]
```

```
    [(eve? p) eve-val]
```

```
    [else (combiner
```

```
      (person-name p)
```

String

```
      (person-fold adam-val eve-val combiner
```

```
        (person-father p))
```

X

```
      (person-fold adam-val eve-val combiner
```

```
        (person-mother p))))))
```

Observe, as before, that the arguments to **combiner** match **combiner**'s contract, and that all three branches of the **cond** return an **X**, so the whole function is guaranteed to return an **X**.

Summary

- You should be able to:
 - Write a fold function for any recursive data definition
 - Use the fold function to define useful functions on that data

Next Steps

- Study the file 06-7-tree-folds.rkt in the Examples folder.
- If you have questions about this lesson, ask them on the Discussion Board
- Do Guided Practice 6.7
- Do Problem Set 6