CS3000: Algorithms & Data — Summer 2023 — Laney Strange

Homework 3 - Long

Due Wednesday May 31 @ 9pm Gradescope (extra day because of the holiday!)

Name: Collaborators:

- Put your name on the first page. If you are using the LATEX template we provided, then you can make sure it appears by filling in the yourname command.
- This assignment is due Wednesday May 31 @ 9pm Gradescope (extra day because of the holiday!). You may submit up to 48 hours late for no penalty, but expect a delay in grading.
- You will have an opportunity to resubmit one short homework and one long homework for new grades, at the end of the semester.
- Solutions must be typeset, preferably in LATEX. If you need to draw any diagrams, you may draw them by hand as long as they are embedded in the PDF. I recommend using the source file for this assignment to get started.
- I encourage you to work with your classmates on the homework problems. *If you do collaborate, you must write all solutions by yourself, in your own words.* Do not submit anything you cannot explain. Please list all your collaborators in your solution for each problem by filling in the yourcollaborators command.
- Finding solutions to homework problems on the web, or by asking students not enrolled in the class, is strictly forbidden.

Problem 1. *Tatte Like Latte Part One* (2 + 2 + 2 = 6 points)

You have D to spend on pastries at Tatte. In the bakery display, you see exactly one of each item – each has a price listed next to it, and you've personally assigned a rating of 1-10 as well. You want to spend your money in an optimal way, i.e., you want to maximize the sum of ratings on your items without going over D.

Here are the items you can buy, along with their prices and your individual ratings:

Item	Price	Your Rating
Chocolate Snail	\$5	9
Chocolate Croissant	\$4	7
Palmier	\$2	5
Monkey Bread	\$8	10

(a) What would an *optimal* solution be if you have \$10 to spend? What is the value of that solution (i.e., what is the sum of all the ratings)?

Solution:

(b) Going by ratings (largest to smallest), what would a Greedy solution be assuming you have \$10 to spend? Is it an an optimal solution?

Solution:

(c) In some versions of this problem, we compute the ratio of value (ranking) to weight (price), as shown in the table below. Using the rating-per-dollar as the way each item is evaluated, what would a Greedy solution be assuming you have \$10 to spend? Is it an an optimal solution?

Item	Price	Your Rating	Rating-Per-Dollar
Chocolate Snail	\$5	9	1.8
Chocolate Croissant	\$4	7	1.75
Palmier	\$2	5	2.5
Monkey Bread	\$8	10	1.25

Problem 2. *Tatte Like Latte Part Two* (2 + 2 + 4 = 8 points)

You've eaten your fill of pastries, and now you have a new dollar amount to spend on Tatte's ground coffee beans. Like before, you've assigned a rating to each type of bean. Each type also has a price-per-pound listed, but you don't need to buy an entire pound of beans; instead, you can buy up to one pound of any type. You can also buy multiple types, as long as you don't go over your \$*D* limit.

For example, here is what the menu might look like now.

Coffee Type	Price (per pound)	Your Rating		
Arabica	\$8	5		
Liberica	\$10	7		
Excelser	\$12	8		
Robusta	\$10	10		

(a) Going by ratings (largest to smallest), what would a Greedy solution be assuming you have \$15 to spend and can purchase up to one pound of any coffee flavor? What would the total rating be?

Solution:

(b) In some versions of this problem, we compute the ratio of value (ranking) to weight (price), as shown in the table below. Using the rating-per-dollar as the way each item is evaluated, what would a Greedy solution be assuming you have \$15 to spend? What would the total rating be?

Item	Price	Your Rating	Rating-Per-Dollar
Arabica	\$8	5	.625
Liberica	\$10	7	.7
Excelser	\$12	8	.667
Robusta	\$10	10	1

Solution:

(c) Give the pseudocode for a greedy solution that would go by either Rating, or Rating-per-Dollar, whichever is better based on your solutions above. (You can assume you have access to any sorting algorithm we've covered.) Your algorithm should take as parameters: *D*, the total dollar amount you can spend, an array of coffee prices, and a corresponding array of coffee ratings. It should return the total rating of an optimal solution.

Problem 3. Greedy == Optimal? (2 + 4 = 6 points)

In either Problem 1 or Problem 2, you found that the greedy strategy did well; your greedy choice was either the rating of an item, or rating-per-dollar. Now your job is to show that the greedy choice you made does yield an optimal solution.

(a) In which problem above did you find that Greedy gave you a pretty good solution? Was your greedy choice rating, or rating-per-dollar?

Solution:

(b) Let's show that your greedy choice would always yield an optimal solution for that problem.

Let S_k be a subproblem of the Tatte problem, and let a_m be the "best" selection in it (highest rating, or highest rating-per-dollar, depending on your answer above). Let A_k be an optimal solution to S_k , and assume you've spent all your money to obtain it. Let us assume that, in A_k , we don't have as much of a_m as possible. We do have some other item, a_j , which is the "best" thing in A_k . Argue that you can safely replace some/all of a_j with the remaining a_m , and end up with a solution at least as good as A_k .

Problem 4. Huffman Codes (4 + 2 + 2 = 8 points)

(a) Given the follow alphabet and frequencies, use Huffman's algorithm to construct an optimal prefix-free code. Draw a resulting tree.

letter	a	g	h	i	1	m	0	r	t
frequency	12	6	10	17	7	13	24	2	9

Solution:

(b) How would *math* be encoded if we follow your tree?

Solution:

(c) In total, there are 100 characters in the file, so if we used 8 bits (one byte) to represent each character, we'd end up needing 800 bits total. How many bits would we need using Huffman instead?