

Designing Programs

Introduction to ACL2s

Pete Manolios
Northeastern

Objectives

- ▶ Designing programs
- ▶ Invariants & contracts

Invariants

- ▶ A **key** concept: invariants
- ▶ What is an invariant?
 - ▶ A property that is always satisfied in all executions of a program is an invariant
 - ▶ Properties are associated with program locations
- ▶ For example let **I** = (tlp l)
- ▶ Then **I** is an invariant because at that location in the program it always holds
- ▶ Why?
- ▶ The input contract requires it

```
(definec len (l :tl) :nat
  (if (endp l)
      0
      (+ 1 (len (rest l))))))
```

```
(definec len (l :tl) :nat
  (if (endp l)
      0
      (+ 1 (len {I}(rest l))))))
```

Contracts

▶ A simple, useful class of invariants that you should **always** check are contracts

▶ Every function has an input contract

▶ For every function call, we must be able to

- ▶ **statically** establish that the input contract of the function is satisfied

```
(definec len (l :tl) :nat
  (if (endp l)
      0
      (+ 1 (len (rest l)))))
```

▶ What is the contract for endp?

- ▶ that it takes a list as input

- ▶ (we'll define the semantics of ACL2s soon)

▶ How do we know that the endp in len is given a list?

- ▶ in Fundies 1, that was specified in a comment

- ▶ wouldn't it be better to make this part of the definition?

- ▶ then our programming language can check for us

All elite programmers I know think in terms of invariants

Contracts

- ▶ Body contracts

- ▶ 1. `endp: (listp l)`
- ▶ 2. `rest: (consp l)`
- ▶ 3. `len: (tlp (rest l))`
- ▶ 4. `+: (rationalp 1)`

`(rationalp (len (rest l)))`

- ▶ 5. `if: t`

- ▶ Function contract

- ▶ `(tlp l) => (natp (len l))`

```
(definec len (l :tl) :nat
  (if (endp l)
      0
      (+ 1 (len (rest l)))))
```

```
(definec len (l :tl) :nat
  {5}(if {1}(endp l)
        0
        {4}(+ 1 {3}(len {2}(rest l)))))
```

- ▶ Every time you write a program, (not just for for this class), check body and function contracts!
- ▶ You can think of invariants as assertions
 - ▶ `{i}` means that every time program execution reaches this point then `{i}` is true

Defunc

▶ Body contracts

- ▶ 1. `endp`: `(listp l)`
- ▶ 2. `rest`: `(consp l)`
- ▶ 3. `len`: `(t1p (rest l))`
- ▶ 4. `+`: `(rationalp 1)`
`(rationalp (len (rest l)))`
- ▶ 5. `if`: `t`

▶ Function contract

- ▶ `(t1p l) => (natp (len l))`

▶ Contract contracts

- ▶ 6. `t1p`: `t` (`t1p` is a recognizer)
- ▶ 7. `len`: `(t1p l)` (holds due to the input contract!)
- ▶ 8. `natp`: `t` (`natp` is a recognizer)

```
(defunc len (l)
  :input-contract (t1p l)
  :output-contract (natp (len l))
  (if(endp l)
    0
    (+ 1 (len (rest l))))))
```

```
(defunc len (l)
  :input-contract {6}(t1p l)
  :output-contract {8}(natp {7}(len l))
  {5}(if {1}(endp l)
    0
    {4}(+ 1 {3}(len {2}(rest l))))))
```

Static Checking

- ▶ Body contracts

- ▶ 1. `endp: (listp l)`
- ▶ 2. `rest: (consp l)`
- ▶ 3. `len: (tlp (rest l))`
- ▶ 4. `+: (rationalp 1)`
`(rationalp (len (rest l)))`
- ▶ 5. `if: t`

```
(defunc len (l)
  :input-contract {6}(tlp l)
  :output-contract {8}(natp {7}(len l))
  {5}(if {1}(endp l)
        0
        {4}(+ 1 {3}(len {2}(rest l)))))
```

- ▶ Function contract, contract contracts ...

- ▶ Static checking of contracts

- ▶ Before the definition is accepted we **prove** all the contracts
- ▶ During execution, only top-level input contracts are checked
- ▶ We have assurance that, at the language level, code will run without any runtime errors
- ▶ Static checking of contracts is hard, which is why it is not supported in most PLs

Dynamic Checking

- ▶ Dynamic checking of contracts
 - ▶ We generate code to check the contracts at **run-time**
 - ▶ This code can incur a significant performance penalty
 - ▶ Contract violations are possible and will lead to an exception
- ▶ Dynamic checking is supported via mechanisms such as assertions; typically used only in development

```
(defunc len (l)
  :input-contract {6}(t1p l)
  :output-contract {8}(natp {7}(len l))
  {5}(if {1}(endp l)
    0
    {4}(+ 1 {3}(len {2}(rest l)))))
```

Append

```
;; app: TL x TL -> TL  
;; Append two lists  
;; (Recursive definition)
```

1. Identify data definitions
`app: TL x TL -> TL`

Append

```
;; app: TL x TL -> TL  
;; (app x y) concatenates x and y
```

Write a description

Append

```
;; app: TL x TL -> TL  
;; (app x y) concatenates x and y
```

Let's review what a TL is:
nil | (cons A TL)

Let's write tests. How many?
At least 4 (2 x 2) because
each data def has two cases.

Note () = nil
'(1 2) = (list 1 2)

```
(check= (app () ()) ())  
(check= (app nil (list 1 2)) (list 1 2))  
(check= (app '(3) nil) '(3))  
(check= (app '(3 2) '(1 2)) '(3 2 1 2))
```

Append

```
;; app: TL x TL -> TL  
;; (app x y) concatenates x and y
```

How do we come up with the definition?

Let's try using a data-driven definition.

There are two arguments. In cases where there are multiple arguments, we have to think about which of the arguments controls the recursion in `app`?

It is simpler when only one argument is needed, so let's try it with the first argument:

```
(check= (app () ()) ())  
(check= (app nil (list 1 2)) (list 1 2))  
(check= (app '(3) nil) '(3))  
(check= (app '(3 2) '(1 2)) '(3 2 1 2))
```

Append

```
;; app: TL x TL -> TL  
;; (app x y) concatenates x and y
```

TL: nil | (cons A1 TL)

Base Case:

app nil Y = Y

First, check that this plan works.
I do that without writing code

Recursive Case:

app (cons a B) Y = aBY
= cons a (app B Y)

Let's make sure we can
express aBY

```
(check= (app () ()) ())  
(check= (app nil (list 1 2)) (list 1 2))  
(check= (app '(3) nil) '(3))  
(check= (app '(3 2) '(1 2)) '(3 2 1 2))
```

Append

```
;; app: TL x TL -> TL  
;; (app x y) concatenates x and y
```

```
(definec app (x :tl y :tl) :tl  
  (if (endp x)  
      y  
      (cons (first x) (app (rest x) y))))
```

```
(check= (app () ()) ())  
(check= (app nil (list 1 2)) (list 1 2))  
(check= (app '(3) nil) '(3))  
(check= (app '(3 2) '(1 2)) '(3 2 1 2))
```

Generate code

TL: nil | (cons All TL)

app nil Y
= Y

app (cons a B) Y
= cons a (app B Y)

Discussion

- ▶ Develop your own notations
- ▶ Visualize the unfolding of the recursion
- ▶ Can we recur on y ?
 - ▶ $\text{app } X \text{ nil} = X$
 - ▶ $\text{app } X (\text{cons } a \ B) = XaB$
 - ▶ how do we do this?
 - ▶ we need `snoc`
- ▶ Can we recur on both x & y ?
 - ▶ Sure, but keep it simple (KISS)
- ▶ Do we satisfy all contracts? Check: Body, Function, Contract.

rl

```
;; rl: TL x Nat -> TL  
;; Given a list, l, and a natural number, n, rl rotates the list  
;; to the left n times
```

► Let's define it on the board.

rl: First Attempt

```
;; rl: TL x Nat -> TL  
;; Given a list, l, and a natural number, n, rl rotates the list  
;; to the left n times
```

```
(definec rl (l :tl n :nat) :tl  
  (cond ((equal n 0) l)  
        (t (rl (app (rest l) (list (first l))) (- n 1)))))
```

Contract checking indicated a problem: if $n > 0$ and l is empty, we violate the contract of `rest`!

Most of the quiz submissions had errors that contract checking would have caught.

rl: Second Attempt

```
;; rl: TL x Nat -> TL  
;; Given a list, l, and a natural number, n, rl rotates the list  
;; to the left n times
```

```
(definec rl (l :tl n :nat) :tl  
  (cond ((equal n 0) l)  
        ((endp l) l)  
        (t (rl (app (rest l) (list (first l))) (- n 1)))))
```

Now, contract checking succeeds.

Next Time

- ▶ Basic Data Types
- ▶ Expressions
- ▶ Syntax and Semantics of atomic data and primitives
- ▶ Read to the end of section 2.6