CSU2500 Exam 2 HONORS SUPPLEMENT - Fall 2009

Name:

Student Id (last 4 digits):

- This supplement to Exam 2 is intended for students enrolled in the Honors section of 2500.
- See the instructions on the regular exam.

Problem	Points	/0	ut of
1		1	5
2		1	5
3		1	15
4		1	15
Total		1	20

Good luck!

Problem 1 Design the function even-frogs? that takes a list of symbols and	8 POINTS
returns true if the symbol 'frog occurs in the list an even number of times.	
Define the function using a loop function.	

Problem 2 Recall that the contract for a Church numeral is

 $[X \rightarrow X] \rightarrow [X \rightarrow X]$

For example, the Church numeral for 3 is

(lambda (f) (lambda (x) (f (f (f x)))))

- 1. Write cn+1, the Church-numeral equivalent of add1.
- 2. Write a pair of Scheme functions to convert between Church numerals and regular Scheme non-negative integers: cn->int and int->cn.

5 POINTS

Problem 3 An oracle is a function that knows about a number and can respond 5 POINTS to guesses about the number. Here is our data definition for Oracles:

```
;;; An Answer is one of:
;;; - 'low
;;; - 'high
;;; - 'ok
;;;
;;; An Oracle is a [Number -> Answer].
```

Th oracle fred, for example, knows about the number 5:

(fred 3) ; produces 'low
(fred 4) ; produces 'low
(fred 5) ; produces 'ok
(fred 6) ; produces 'high
(fred 7) ; produces 'high

- 1. Design a function number->oracle that makes an oracle for a given number.
- Design a function oracle->number that consumes an oracle and two integers lo, hi, and produces the number the oracle knows. Assume that *lo* < *hi*, and that the number known to the oracle is an integer in the range [*lo*, *hi*).

Your function must be efficient; it should only make at most about 20 guesses in order to find a number in the range [0, 1000000).

[Here is some more space for the previous problem.]

Problem 4 Recall that in a previous problem, we defined sets with the data definition

```
;;; A [Setof X] is a [Listof X]
;;; No repetitions allowed.
```

and we then added an element-comparison function as an extra argument to our set-processing functions, such as contains?.

One reason we need to add an element-comparison argument to set functions is that we can't otherwise handle sets whose elements are themselves sets. For example, consider the set $\{1,3,5\}$, represented by the list ' (1 3 5). Is it a member of the following set-of-sets-of-numbers?

'((2 4 6) (5 3 1) (42)); A [Setof [Setof Number]]

Yes, it *is* a member of the set—it's the second item—but it's represented as ' (5 3 1), so equal? won't find it for us.

All of this would straighten out nicely if we just went ahead and defined a function set=? for comparing sets that took a third argument for comparing elements of the set.

- 1. Define this function. (Hint: you might do well to define a helpful auxiliary function.) Don't write recursive code in your solution; use loop functions.
- 2. Now define numsetset=?, which determines if two sets-of-sets-of-numbers are equal. It only takes two arguments, of course, not three.

[Here is some more space for the previous problem.]