

Why do we care about proofs in CS?

when have you seen proofs before? Geometry.

But proofs touch everything in cs. I. Will a program return the correct answer? Dijkstra, Euclids, any cocle 2. Are there problems that are too hard for computers to solve?

Jes, will your code run forever 3. Is the internet actually secure? (My area study!)

Proofs come in different formals (just like essays)

1. Proof of conclitional) covered in G1800 ? Proof by induction) covered in G1800 3. Proof by contractiction) C83800

4. Proof by recluction & Theory of comp

All of them involve using rigorous logic to convince the reacter of the proofs correctness Proving a conclitional

"If x then y" - how can we prove this statement true e.g.:

"if shape is a _____ then shape is (also) _____

First we define:

- polygon w/ 4 equal sides and 90° angles

- polygon w/4 sicles and 90° angles

Proof: Assume shape is a square (implies) => shape has 4 equal length sides and 90° angles (by defin of square) => shape is rectangle (by defin of rectangle)

Generally: $P \rightarrow Q$

1. Assume P 2. Give series of implications to get to Q

Note we had to use P to get to Q

Exercise · Define - integeris even if 7 some integera s,t = ZaProve: If z is even, then z^2 is also even (useful fact: a, b & Z then C & Z where c-ab) Assume Z is even => Z=Za (by def'n of even) $= = Z^2 = (Z_0)^2$ $\Rightarrow Z^2 = 4a^2$ $\Rightarrow z^2 = Z(Za^2)$ is int. by useful fact

Useful Proof Trick: Cases

Just like counting can break proofs into cases



Break up into clisjoint cases and argue inclividually







Know A NAZ NAZ = A, UAZ UAZ by previous section so

A, MAZ MAZ MAY = (A, UAZ UAZ) MAY



bard case

This is much easier to show by using our work from previous Step

any pair

ofdominos

So what about n sets?

 $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$

the rest follow.

Dominues! Push one over

Could prove it inclividually for each n=1,2,3, ... but we can always use our work from n-1 to prove n. this will fall, then will hold for

Induction (weak)

Process: Dprove first statement z) Show that statement for n-1 imples n (WLOG) Example [I want to know that my candy in my bag is all the same w/ two rules

> 1) Can only take and examine 1 piece of cancily from the bag Z) Can only say one sentence to the person on your right

I will only talk to the last person > how can you convince me?

Anatomy of induction proof

Show the sum of first nodd numbers 13n2.

<u>Prosf</u> We wish to show: 1+3+5+7+...+(2n-1)=n²

Define the problem formally interms of n

Base case: n=1 thus 1=12





1 Induction Example (Recipe & Rubric)

Our purpose here is to emphasize a recipe for how to approach writing an induction proof. On first look, it may appear a bit strict in requiring that certain steps be shown. In previous semesters, I've been a bit more relaxed with the formatting requirements and I've found that many students can lose track of precisely where they are in the proof, causing confusion. I hope that this recipe & rubric¹ help structure everyone's induction proofs so they their studies are more productive.

The green rubric boxes are immediately below the portion of the solution they refer to.

2 Geometric Series

Using induction, prove the following geometric series formula:

Let r be a real number not equal to zero. Then, for any natural number n greater than or equal to 1:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 r^{i-1} = a_1 \frac{1-r^n}{1-r}$$

Solution

Statement n is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 r^{i-1} = a_1 \frac{1-r^n}{1-r}$

Rubric

1 point: clearly writing what statement n is

(Students sometimes lose track of what they're proving, especially when writing induction proofs seperately from the problem statement. Labelling this right up top is helpful.)

Base Case (statement 1):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{1} ar^{i-1} = a_1 = a_1 \frac{1-r}{1-r}$$

Rubric

1 point: providing a clear base case index (e.g. here n=1) and writing what statement n=1 is

1 point: demonstration that the base case is true.

¹Please note that the rubric point values here are suggestions only, different problems may weight things differently. We include them to give a rough sense of what that future rubric will be.



Induction Tip

This last part is often the most challenging for students. Sometimes its the algebra which is tough and other times students are attempting to prove something which isn't true because they've made a mistake in the induction structure! Here's a few tips on setting up the induction structure so you can isolate your algebra challenges properly:

- Very often I find problems are easier to think about when we start^{*a*} on the summation side of statement n + 1, $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_1 r^{i-1}$, and work our way towards the other, simpler side of things.
- Towards the bottom of your page, write the second (simpler) side of things (i.e. $a_1 \frac{1-r^{n+1}}{1-r}$). Its worth a point and serves to remind us where we're headed.
- If you've got a summation to work from, try popping out that final term in the summation to set up applying our indutive hypothesis (statement n). Here's a silly little summation notation reminder of how that works:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + \ldots + n + (n+1) = (\sum_{k=1}^{n} k) + (n+1)$$

• Your reasoning must should^b the inductive hypothesis (statement n), be on the lookout for a place to apply that assumption!

Following these four tips above yields the equalities below:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 r^{i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_1 r^{i-1} + a_1 r^{i-1}$$
$$= a_1 \frac{1 - r^n}{1 - r} + a_1 r^n$$
$$\dots$$
$$= a_1 \frac{1 - r^{n+1}}{1 - r}$$

Now that we've settled our induction structure, you can focus on the algebra required to fill in the ... above. (And, if the worst comes to it, know that you've scored the majority of the points on this induction proof ... students who struggle to connect the dots here might have an algebra challenge but their induction skills are solid).

 $^{^{}a}$ To be clear, you can right a correct induction proof, earning full credit, starting from either side of an equality / inequality. Working from complex (summation) to simple often helps students though.

^bShould you not use it, then you've got a proof of statement n + 1 which doesn't rely on statement n. It may be a valid proof but its not an induction proof!



